



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/135,183	08/17/1998	CYNTHIA C. BAMDAD	A-65909-1/RF	8993
7590 06/06/2005			EXAMINER	
RICHARD F TRECARTIN			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
	ACH TEST ALBRITTON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3400 FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER			1631	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941114187			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/135,183	BAMDAD, CYNTHIA C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ardin Marschel	1631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ [1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/19/04,9/7/04, & 12/13/04</u> .					
2a)⊠ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
).	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🛛 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11,14 and 23-44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) [5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) 1,2,11,14, & 23-44 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement				
۰) ا	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application	n Papers					
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	· '					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trac	temark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/135,183

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 4/19/04, 9/7/04, and 12/13/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

NEW MATTER

Claims 2, 11, 14, and 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 2 has been broadened to require a recruitment linker that comprises a plurality of ETMs without at least one being covalently attached as previously set forth in claim 2. This ETM practice without also the covalent limitations is NEW MATTER in that it broadens claim 2. This broadening NEW MATTER has been amended into independent claims 11 and 24-27 also. Applicants have not pointed to written support as filed for this broader ETM/recruitment linker practice nor has written basis been found as filed. Therefore claims 2, 11, and 24-27 and those dependent therefrom due to their dependence contains NEW MATTER. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Application/Control Number: 09/135,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of claim 30 as being vague and indefinite due to lacking clear antecedent basis for "said label probe" is maintained because claim 30 was not amended to correct this issue, whereas claim 29 was and is thus no longer rejected over this issue.

It is noted that claims 2, 11, and 24-27 have been amended to require a plurality of ETMs. Claim 28 thus is vague and indefinite due to citing "said ETM" which lacks clear antecedent basis from claims such as 2 etc. which no longer cite a singular ETM. Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 29 and 36 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 29 cites a label nucleic acid probe which comprises a plurality of ETMs which is already a limitation in the claims from which claims 29 or 36 depend. This objection is necessitated by amendment.

Application/Control Number: 09/135,183

Art Unit: 1631

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 11, 23-30, 34, 36, 37, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)(2) as being clearly anticipated by Kayyem et al. (P/N 6,090,933).

This rejection is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 12/16/03. Applicants' arguments, filed 4/19/04, admits of covalent bonding involved in ETM attachment to probes in Kayyem et al. They then argue that a hybridization bonding practice intermediate between a Kayyem et al. probe and a target nucleic acid should be focused on as being non-covalent. In response a covalent bonding practice is present in the disclosed complexes of Kayyem et al. thus supporting the "covalently attached" ETM practice of the instant claims albeit also with hybridization bonding involved. This hybridization involvement does not negate the presence of said covalent attachment practice and therefore supports this rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1631

§ 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., AU 1631 Supervisory Patent Examiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 30, 2005

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER